City	of Lor	ndon: Projects Pro	ocedure Corporate	<u>Risks Register</u>																			
		Project Name:	Leadenhall Stree Vision	t Improvements -	City Clu	ster		PM's overall risk rating:	I	edium	CRP requested this gateway	£	-	unm	Average nitigated risk			2.4			Open Risks	11	
U	nique	project identifier:	12295				Total	l estimated cost (exec risk):	£	8,000,000	Total CRP used to date	L	-	Averag	e mitigated risk score			1.0		·	Closed Risks	0	
Gen Risk ID	_	classification ray Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classification pre- mitigation	Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation	Risk score	Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	on post-	Impact i Classificat on post- mitigation	mitigation (£)		CRP used to date	Use of CRP	Ownership Date raised	& Action Named Departmental Risk Manager Coordinator		Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to	Comment(s)
RI	2	(3) Reputation	Delays or vacation of worksite due to external events and/ or occurrences	Should such an event happen, a number of possibilities could occur:  * Change in project scope * Change in project resource: * Change in project delivery timescales  * Pause to project whilst situation is assessed	s Unlikely	Minor	2		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Work as a team to scenario plan at an early stage to estimate costs and impacts of high, medium and low occurrences. * Budget and programme stack to account for likely low impact events		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn	Issues	14/8/23- The project is still in the early stages of planning meaning that this risk is very minor. The project feam will continue to assess and mitigate against such risk as part of its BAU processes.
R2	2	(1) Compliance/Regulatory	Issues or delays in any required consents which cause delay to project delivery	If there was to be any delay in the arrival of any required consents, such as planning permissions, TMOs, Permits, discharge of conditions, heritage, TfL, etc; its likely the project may suffer from some form of unplanned delay, additional work and/ or costs	Unlikely	Minor	2		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Map out the required consents with project team and continually monitor & update throughout the project * Schedule regular meetings with consent approvers, especially those with long lead in times or complex approval procedures.		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - No change. This scheme will require 3rd party approvals by Transport for London. Normal BAU processes will mitigate however.
R3	2	(1) Compliance/Regulatory	Judicial Review, which leads to project delay/ further costs	Should judicial review occur at this early stage, its certain this would have major implications on project delivery. Extra legal advice could also be required to deal with the situation.	Rare	Serious	2		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Consider legal advice. This could be the internal teams or external advice such as QCs if necessary.  * Should judicial review be a distinct probability.  * Should judicial review be a distinct probability.  * stabilish a very detailed and concise project plan, programme and design log which details change and the reasons why.  * Reaffirm statutory documentation requirements via internal advice.  * Ensure and check that any public advertisements are in place as required [and replaced if needed]		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - No change. Although we can ensure all due processes are followed, a JR can occur during the traffic order process and will need to go through the Court process for determination, Fully compliant processes which are documented and made public may reduce the likelihood of an individual or organisation making a JR claim
R4	2	(10) Physical	Accessibility and/ or security concerns lead to project change that in-turn results in additional resources being required to compensate.	project's design and scope may be required if	Possible	Minor	3		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Regular reviews of designs (especially just prior to Gateways) in liaison with specialist groups and contacts * Regular meetings with associated projects and programmes		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - Accessibility will be assessed during the design phases using the CoL accessibility tool. This is a new BAU process which will help to mitigate this risk. Also the project is working alongside the relevant security project which will help to ensure synergies are maintained.
R5	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a project.	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with Tit. buses didn't go as planned. Also, they may change their requirements for a project.	Possible r	Minor	3		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Ensure early engagement with TfL buses in the design phase so they can consult internally  * Design the scheme to minimise bus impacts or attempt to provide a benefit so TfL buses are more inclined to help fund the project.		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - BAU project discussions have already taken place with Tif. buses. Its expected these discussions will be sufficient to mitigate any potential associated risks.
R6	2	(8) Technology	Modelling issues (results and implications, issues with the delivery, buy-in, required reruns, etc)	Modelling can play a major role in defining a project and confirming its viability. Any issues could have many different and combined outcomes where additional resource may be required to rectify. Also, further modelling may be required following consultation if design changes needed.	Unlikely	Minor	2		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Early engagement with TfL to identify requirements, their timescales and costs * Ensure information & data requirements for modelling are agreed and scooped out fully * Regular engagement with design and modelling consultants		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - Minor decrease in pre- mitigation risk values due to the potential bus gate no longer being required. Transformational scheme is not expected to require any modelling.
R7	2	(2) Financial	Lack of available skilled staff resource being available which leads to delays	Additional resource may be required for a number of reasons i.e. new and unplanned requirement identified, loss of team member, etc	Possible	Minor	3		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Resource plan at least two Gateway stages forward in an effort to locate resources as early as possible * Use existing framework contracts where possible		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - The transportation framework is in place to cover resource requirements should there be any issues.
R8	2	(3) Reputation	issue(s) with external engagement and buy-in lead to additional resources being required to compensate		Possible	Minor	3		N	B – Fairly Confident	* Early identification and engagement with key stakeholders using the City Cluster Vision Programme Stakeholder Engagement plan and established communication routes * Consider specific working groups should it be required.		Rare	Minor		1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn		14/8/23 - No change. This risk is thought to be low and will be tracked in partnership with the City Cluster Vision Programme which this project is a part of.

## Appendix 5: Leadenhall Street Improvements Proposed Risk Register (for approval)

R9	2	(4) Contractual/Par nership		Referring both to internal and external suppliers to projects, alternative arrangements which require additional resource may be required if a potential or existing supplier is unable to deliver as agreed for whatever reason.	Rare	Minor	1	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Arrange construction planning meeting with term contractor just prior to construction to ensure that resources are available (i.e. construction pack from them is received in good time)	Rare	Minor	1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn	14/8/23 - At this stage, a very low risk which will be monitored up to G5.
R10	2	(10) Physical	Utility and utility survey issues lead to increased costs/scope of works	At the earlier stages of a project, delays could occur which result unplanned costs if utility companies don't engage as expected. Also, extra resource would be needed if further surveys are required. During construction, any issues with required utility companies could result in extra resources being required.	Possible	Minor	3	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Work with design engineers to work out an appropriate sums to cover utilify delays or on-site discoveries. *Quite minor construction works required for this project so risk should be limited.	Rare	Minor	1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn	14/8/23 - utility surveys have token place and Leadenhall St has already been heavily surveyed in the past. Both these points lead to a low risk score at this time.
R12	2	(4) Contractual/Par nership	Third party delays impacts	A CoL project may require a third party to complete its work before it can proceed. Should this work be delayed in anyway, its likely to impact (time and cost-wise) on a project.	Unlikely	Minor	2	N	A – Very Confident	* Include regular meetings with the developer and local stakeholders * Include some slack in the programme to absorb low- level delays	Rare	Minor	1	n/a	n/a	20/06/2021	Melanie Charalambous	Daniel Laybourn	14/8/23 - at this stage, this risk is low but will become more important at the subsequent stages of work. Also, its more likely than not that these risks will be monitored by their own individual projects (most likely \$278) which can then feed into this project and the City Cluster Vision Programme.